Nanosignal Processing: Stochastic Resonance in Carbon Nanotubes That Detect Subthreshold Signals
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ABSTRACT

Experiments confirm that small amounts of noise help a nanotube transistor detect noisy subthreshold electrical signals. Gaussian, uniform, and impulsive (Cauchy) noise produced this feedforward stochastic-resonance effect by increasing both the nanotube system’s mutual information and its input–output correlation. The noise corrupted a synchronous Bernoulli or random digital sequence that fed into the thresholdlike nanotube transistor and produced a Bernoulli sequence. Both Shannon’s mutual information and correlation measured the performance gain by comparing the input and output sequences. This nanotube SR effect was robust: it persisted even when infinite-variance Cauchy noise corrupted the signal stream. Such noise-enhanced signal processing at the nanolevel promises applications to signal detection in wideband communication systems and biological and artificial neural networks.

Noise can help carbon nanotube transistors detect subthreshold electrical signals by increasing the transistor’s input–output mutual information or correlation. Several researchers have demonstrated the stochastic resonance (SR) effect for various types of threshold units or neurons.1–6 Experiments with p-type nanotube transistors confirmed the specific SR prediction based on the theoretical finding that simple memoryless threshold neurons exhibit SR for almost all finite-variance and infinite-variance noise types.7 The experiments used three types of additive noise (Gaussian, uniform, and infinite-variance1 Cauchy noise) and different combinations of subthreshold ON/OFF electrical signals. Figure 1 shows the nonmonotonic signature of SR for white Gaussian noise and the thresholdlike nonlinearity of the nanotube transistors.8–13 The modes of the mutual-information and correlation curves occurred for nonzero noise strength with a standard deviation of at least 0.01.

The nanotube experiments produced the SR effect for both the Shannon mutual information and the input–output correlation14 of noisy Bernoulli sequences. The mutual information $I(S, Y)$ subtracts the noisy channel’s (the transistor’s) output conditional entropy $H(Y|S)$ from its unconditional entropy $H(Y)$: $I(S, Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|S)$. The input signal $S$ was a random binary voltage that produced a random output $Y$ in the form of a transistor current. The correlation measure found the normalized zero-lag cross-correlation

$$r_{xy}(l) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} x(k) y(k-l)$$

of the two sequences with subtracted means. The measures did not assume that the nanotube detector had a special structure and did not impose a threshold scheme on the experiment.

Figure 1b shows the thresholdlike nonlinearity of the nanotube transistor in response to the noisy input signal. The transconductance $G$ related the output drain-to-source current $I$ to the input gate voltage $V$ and the threshold voltage $V_T$ in a memoryless signal function: $I = G(V - V_T)$ if $V \geq V_T$ and zero otherwise. We note that the threshold neuron model lacks the internal state dynamics of the FitzHugh–Nagumo (FHN) model.15 The transconductance $G$ was negative because the pristine (undoped) nanotube transistors exhibited current–voltage characteristics that were consistent with p-type transistors. Linear regression extrapolated the nonlinearity and estimated the threshold voltage.

Each of the nanotube experiments (Supporting Information) applied 32 independent trials of 1000-symbol input sequences for 24 noise levels per type and over a range of gate voltages. The 24 sampled noise levels ranged from 0.001 to 1 standard deviation (dispersion for infinite-variance Cauchy) linearly on a logarithmic scale. The noisy input was
a synchronized Bernoulli sequence of independent random (subthreshold) ON/OFF values and additive white noise of three types. So there was no timing noise in the pulse train as in the FHN neuron model.\textsuperscript{16} The discrete-time noise was white because the noise samples were uncorrelated in time. So the discrete-time Fourier transform was $2\pi$-periodic and produced a flat noise power spectrum over the interval $[0, 2\pi]$.\textsuperscript{17,18} Synchronization allows the nanotube systems to implement a variety of algorithms from signal processing and communications.

The ON/OFF values in Figure 1a were ON = $-1.6$ V and OFF = $-1.4$ V. The input updated the symbols about once every 10 ms. A 200-mV drain-source voltage biased the nanotube at room temperature in vacuum. The experiment measured and averaged 10 samples of the detector output at 100 ksamples/s near the end of each symbol interval to estimate the output sequence (Supporting Information). A fsample test and a Kolmogorov–Smirnov test both rejected the similarity between a monotonically decreasing $\beta$ probability density function and the two SR curves (p < 0.001). (b) Thresholdlike (nonlinear) gate effect of the p-type CNT-FET detector. Each point shows the detector’s response to one random input symbol. The experimental data showed that the CNT-FET detector behaved as a threshold in response to the noisy input signal stream. The gate effect showed little hysteresis. This differed from the hysteretic curve that a semiconductor parameter analyzer captured from the detector (Supporting Information) and differed from the typical hysteretic loops in ref 18. Linear regression gave an approximate threshold gate voltage of $V_T = -2.3$ V ($\beta_0 = -2.99$ nA, $\beta_1 = -1.31$ nA/V, $p$ value = 0.0001) for the transistor current equation $I = G (V - V_T)$ if $V \leq V_T$ and zero otherwise.

A histogram of the output sequence gave the discrete probability density function $P(Y = Y_i) = p_i$ that computed the unconditional Shannon entropy:

\[
H(Y) = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \ln p_i
\]  

for mutual information without converting the detector output into a binary sequence with a threshold scheme. Sorting the output sequence based on the input symbol and then applying the histogram gave the conditional output discrete probability density function $P_{Y|S}(Y = Y_i|S = S_j) = p_{ji}/p_j$, conditioned on the input symbols that computed the conditional entropy:

\[
H(Y|S) = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \sum_{j=1}^{N} P_{ji} \ln \left(\frac{P_{ji}}{p_j}\right)
\]  

The mutual information measure was the difference between the unconditional and conditional entropies:

\[
I(S, Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|S)
\]  

Cross correlation compared the input and the output symbol sequences and gave a scalar representation with its zero-lag value:

\[
r_{xy}(0) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} y(k)
\]  

Converting the input Bernoulli sequence to bipolar form (mapping ON to +1 and OFF to −1) made it approximately...
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Figure 2. (a) Stochastic resonance with additive white uniform noise. All four combinations of input voltage values produced a clear SR response in both mutual information (bottom red curve) and input–output correlation (top green curve) just as with additive white Gaussian noise. Shown is the SR effect for the subthreshold signal ON = −1.8 V and OFF = −1.6 V. The SR mode is at 0.04 standard deviation. (b). Robust stochastic resonance with additive white Cauchy noise. This highly impulsive noise has infinite variance and infinite higher-order moments. The Cauchy-noise experiment produced a measurable SR effect for two of the four combinations of input voltages. Shown is an approximate SR effect for the subthreshold signal ON = −2 V and OFF = −1.8 V. The SR mode lies at about the 0.003 dispersion value. Several SR researchers have found multiple modes in the plot of system performance against noise strength.51–53 The limited dynamic range [−5V, 5V] of the data acquisition equipment (Supporting Information) may have produced the second peak in the graph as a truncation artifact because it clipped large spikes when it realized the infinite-variance Cauchy noise. The clipping affected more than 0.1% of the noise only for dispersions greater than 0.01.

zero mean (equal numbers of +1’s and −1’s give exactly zero mean) and noise-free. Subtracting the sample mean from the output sequence improved the match between similar input and output sequences. A normalization scheme gave the normalized correlation measure:14

\[ C(S, Y) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} x(k) y(k)}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{N} x(k)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{N} y(k)^2}} \]  

(5)

It divided the zero-lag cross correlation \( r_{xy}(0) \) by the square root of the energy of the input and the output sequences where the energy of a sequence is the same as the zero-lag value of its autocorrelation:

\[ |x| = \sum_{k=1}^{N} x^2(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} x(k) x(k−1) \big|_{k=0} = r_{xx}(0) \]  

(6)

Also, we also passed impulsive or infinite-variance white noise through the nanotube detector to test whether it was robust to occasional large noise spikes. We chose the highly impulsive Cauchy noise1 for this task. This infinite-variance noise probability density function had the form

\[ p(n) = \frac{\gamma}{\pi(n^2 + \gamma^2)} \]

for zero location and finite dispersion \( \gamma \). Figure 2b shows that a diminished SR effect still persists for Cauchy noise with the subthreshold signal pair ON = −1.8 V and OFF = −1.6 V. Not all Cauchy experiments produced a measurable SR effect.

These SR results suggest that nanotubes can exploit noise in other signal-processing tasks if advances in nanotube device technology can overcome the problems of hysteresis and parasitic capacitance that affect logic circuits25 and high-frequency signals.26 The nanotube signal detectors might apply to broadband27,28 or optical communication systems29 that use submicroamp currents and attenuated signals in noise because our nanotube detectors used nanoamp current and could distinguish between subthreshold binary symbols. The

nanotube field-effect transistor technology produced detectors that could exhibit hysteresis19–21 or react to adsorbed molecules.22–24 The experiment applied subthreshold binary symbols: (−2.0, −1.8), (−1.8, −1.6), (−1.6, −1.4), and (−1.4, −1.2) V. Figure 1a shows the SR effect for additive white Gaussian noise and the subthreshold signal pair ON = −1.6 V and OFF = −1.4 V. The SR mode of the mutual-information curve is 6 times the value at minimal noise. The SR mode of the correlation curve is 3 times the value at minimal noise. Figure 2a shows the SR effect for additive white uniform noise and the signal pair ON = −1.8 V and OFF = −1.6 V.

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{N} x^2(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} x(k) x(k−1) \big|_{k=0} = r_{xx}(0) \]  

(6)
detectors might apply to parallel signal processing at the nanolevel because they could have a small minimum feature size in vast parallel arrays of nanotubes. The parallel detectors could apply to spread spectrum communications: each nanotube can act as an antenna that matches a separate frequency channel in frequency hopping and perhaps in other types of spread spectrum communications. A nanotube’s length can code for a given frequency while chemical adsorption can tune a nanotube’s threshold. The detectors can also operate in a biological environment such as saline solution. The nanotube detectors could interface with biological systems because an electrolyte can act as their gate. The nanotube detectors might also help implement pulse-train neural networks and exploit noise in biological or robotic systems because the detectors are threshold devices similar to spiking neurons.
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